Case 3:16-cv-00412 Document 1 Filed 01/24/16 Page 1 of 21

1 2 3 4	Robert L. Starr, Bar No. 183052 robert@starrlaw.com THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT L. STA 23901 Calabasas Road, #2072 Calabasas, California 91302 Telephone: (818) 225-9040 Facsimile: (818) 225-9042	RR, APC	
5 6 7 8	Stephen M. Harris, Bar No. 110626 stephen@smh-legal.com THE LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN M. HARRIS, APC 6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1500 Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 924-3103 Facsimile: (818) 924-3079		
9 10	Kieva Myers, individually, and on behalf of a class		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14 15	KIEVA MYERS, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,) NO. CLASS ACTION	
16	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR	
17	v.	1. Violations of Business andProfessions Code 17200	
18 19	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT,	2. Fraud3. Breach of Implied Warranty4. Violation of Consumer LegalRemedies Act	
20	Defendants.	} Remedies 7 let	
21	Dorondants.		
22			
23		_	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1-	

INTRODUCTION

1. Kieva Myers ("Myers") brings this action for herself and on behalf all persons in California ("Class Members") who purchased or leased model year 2008 through 2015 BMW X5 vehicles equipped with the comfort access feature ("Class Vehicles") which were manufactured, distributed, and sold by BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company (BMW NA) and BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, a corporation organized under the law of the federal republic of Germany (BMW AG). BMW NA and BMW AG are sometimes referred to collectively as Defendants.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

PARTIES

KIEVA MYERS

2. Myers resides in California. Myers is the owner of a 2013 BMW X5 equipped with the comfort access feature ("Kieva Vehicle"). Kieva purchased the Kieva Vehicle in San Francisco, California. The Kieva Vehicle was purchased primarily for personal, family and household non-commercial purposes. The Kieva Vehicle was manufactured, sold, distributed, advertised, marketed and warranted by Defendants, and bears the Vehicle Identification Number 5UXZW0C50D0B95201.

Defendants

3. BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC (BMW NA) is a limited liability company, organized and in existence under the laws of the State of New Jersey and registered with the Secretary of State to conduct business in California. BMW NA is and at all times herein relevant was engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing, constructing, assembling, marketing, distributing, and selling automobiles and other motor vehicles and motor vehicle components throughout 26 the United States of America.

27

1	4. BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT, a			
2	corporation organized under the law of the federal republic of Germany (BMW			
3	AG) is a corporation organized under the laws of the federal republic of Germany.			
4	BMW AG is and at all times herein relevant was engaged in the business of			
5	designing, manufacturing, constructing, assembling, marketing, distributing, and			
6	selling automobiles and other motor vehicles and motor vehicle components for			
7	sale throughout the United States of America.			
8				
9	HIDIODICTION			
10	<u>JURISDICTION</u>			
11	5. This is a class action that is subject to the Class Action Fairness Act,			
12	California, BMW NA is incorporated in New Jersey, and BMW AG is incorporated			
13				
14	in Germany and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000.			
15	6. Kieva resides at 363 10 th Avenue, San Francisco, California 94118.			
16	7. Kieva purchased the Kieva Vehicle in San Francisco, California.			
17	8. Due to the Kieva Vehicle being purchased in San Francisco,			
18	California, and due to Kieva residing in San Francisco, California, jurisdiction is			
19	proper in the Northern District.			
20				
	INTRADISCTRICT ASSIGNMENT			
21	9. A substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to these claims			
22	and a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action occurred in			
23	San Francisco County pursuant to Local Rule 3-5(b).			
24				
25	APPLICABLE LAW			
26	10. California State law applies to all claims in this action.			
27				
28				

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. The Class Vehicles consist of all model year 2008 through 2015 BMW X5 vehicles equipped with the comfort access feature, sold or leased to consumers in California.
- 12. The comfort access feature is a convenience feature integrated into the Class Vehicles, and their remote controls. With regard to the functionality of the comfort access feature, the BMW NA owners' manual states, "The concept: The vehicle can be accessed without activating the remote control. All you need to do is to have the remote control with you, e.g., in your jacket pocket. The vehicle automatically detects the remote control when it is nearby or in the passenger compartment. Comfort access supports the following functions: Unlocking/locking of the vehicle." The owners' manual goes on to say, "Functional requirement: To lock the vehicle, the remote control must be located outside of the vehicle." The owners' manual clearly instructs operators of Class Vehicles that in order to lock the vehicle, the remote control must be located outside of the vehicle, and thus, if the remote control is located inside of the vehicle, the vehicle cannot lock.
- 13. Unfortunately, the comfort access feature is defective. The defect is that sometimes while the remote control is located inside a Class Vehicle, the Class 19 Vehicle spontaneously locks (Comfort Access Defect). Numerous owners and lessees of 2008 through 2015 BMW X5 vehicles, including but not limited to Kieva, have reported that their vehicles have automatically locked while the remote control has been inside of their vehicles, at times trapping children inside their vehicles. Examples of consumer complaints submitted to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration are as follows:

25

1

2

4

5

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

-Date Complaint filed 7/8/2011, NHTSA ID Number 10411500 2009 BMW X5

MY DAUGHTER WAS PUT IN THE BACKSEAT IN A HOT (100F) CAR AND STRAPPED IN. MY WIFE PLACED HER PURSE (KEYS IN IT) ON THE MIDDLE CONSOLE. THE DOOR WAS SHUT AND LOCKED ON ITS OWN, LOCKING MY CHILD IN THE CAR. WE CALLED BMW ASSIST TO REMOTELY UNLOCK THE CAR. THIS DID NOT WORK. POLICE AND FIRE WAS CALLED AND SHOWED UP ON SEEN. A NEIGHBOR FIREMAN CAME AND BROKE THE WINDOW. THE CAR STILL WOULD NOT UNLOCK AND MY WIFE CRAWLED THROUGH THE BROKEN WINDOW CUTTING HER LEG TO GET MY NOW HOT, RED AND LETHARGIC CHILD OUT OF THE CAR. AFTERWARD, THE CAR STILL WOULD NOT UNLOCK FOR 2 HOURS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

-Date complaint filed 6/21/2010, NHTSA ID Number 10339251 2009 BMW X5

MY WIFE HAD A HARROWING EXPERIENCE WITH THE 09 BMW-X5 LOCKING ITSELF WITH THE KEY IN THE CAR. REMOTE KEY WAS INSIDE THE CAR IN HER PURSE ON THE PASSENGER SEAT-UNTOUCHED BY ANYONE. CAR WAS PARKED WITH ENGINE OFF. CAR LOCKED ITSELF AFTER OUR TWO KIDS WERE SECURED IN THE BACKSEATS AND THEIR DOORS SHUT WITH MY WIFE OUTSIDE! IT WAS OVER 90 DEGREES OUTSIDE AND SHE DID NOT HAVE MUCH TIME TO CALL FOR HELP. MY 5 YEAR OLD'S ATTEMPTS TO OPEN THE DOOR RESULTED IN ALARM GOING OFF AND EVERYTHING SHUT DOWN. FINALLY, BY LUCK, SHE WAS ABLE TO GUIDE THE 5 YEAR OLD TO GET TO THE FRONT SEAT AND LOCATE THE KEY FROM THE PURSE AND UNLOCK THE CAR. IF IT WAS ONLY THE 2 YEAR OLD INSIDE, SHE HAD NO OPTION BUT TO BREAK THE WINDOW! THIS IS A REALLY SCARY SITUATION FOR A LUXURY CAR AND A BRAND NEW ONE. IT HAD NEVER HAPPENED TO US. SURELY WE DO NOT WANT TO EXPERIENCE THIS AGAIN AND WISH IT ON NO ONE ELSE! WE SUSPECT THAT IT HAD TO BE SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE ELECTRONICS. IT HAS NOT OCCURRED AGAIN IN THE LAST 3

27

28

WITH THE KEY INSIDE THE CAR AND THE DRIVER OUT!

DAYS. THE REMOTE KEY IN THESE CARS ARE DESIGNED IN SUCH

A WAY THAT THE CAR SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO LOCK ITSELF

4

5

3

6

8

9

7

10

1112

1314

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-Date complaint filed 12/3/2014, NHTSA ID Number 10662059 2013 BMW X5

ON 3 SEPARATE OCCASIONS THE ELECTRIC DOOR LOCKS HAVE LOCKED THE CAR WITH THE KEY FOB INSIDE THE CAR. ON THE 1ST OCCASION, A 2 Y/O CHILD HAD JUST BEEN STRAPPED INTO HIS CAR SEAT, A PURSE WITH THE KEY INSIDE THE PURSE, WAS PLACED ON THE FLOOR BEHIND THE DRIVER'S SEAT, THE REAR DOOR WAS CLOSED & ALL LOCKS WERE ACTIVATED. THIS OCCURRED IN THE HEAT OF SUMMER & REQUIRED ANOTHER DRIVER WITH A SPARE FOB TO QUICKLY DRIVE TO THE SITE OF THE PARKED CAR & AVOID HAVING TO BREAK A WINDOW. THIS WAS A VERY SCARY MOMENT! SAME THING HAPPENED (NO CHILD IN CAR); ALL DOORS LOCKED WHEN A BRIEFCASE CONTAINING BOTH KEY FOBS WAS PLACED ON BACK SEAT & REAR DOOR WAS CLOSED . A CALL TO BMW HOT LINE RESULTED IN THE DOORS BEING UNLOCKED VIA THE AIRWAYS .THE SAME INCIDENT OCCURED THIS WEEK WITH ONLY ONE KEY FOB IN THE CAR. THE VEHICLE WAS CHECKED BY THE LOCAL DEALER & NO PROBLEMS WERE FOUND . IT SEEMS THERE IS A POTENTIAL PROBLEM & I WISH TO DOCUMENT SUCH FACT SO AS TO HOPEFULLY PREVENT UNEXPECTED DOOR LOCK ACTIVATION WITH SMALL CHILDREN IN THE CAR.

- 14. Complaint ID Number 1041150 clearly indicates that on or before July 8, 2011, a consumer called BMW NA, and advised BMW NA of a Class Vehicle spontaneously locking, while the Class Vehicle's remote was located inside the Class Vehicle.
- 15. On October 19, 2015, Kieva experienced the Comfort Access Defect. Kieva opened the rear door of the Kieva Vehicle, placed Kieva's child inside the Kieva Vehicle, placed the remove inside the Kieva Vehicle, and shut rear door of the Kieva Vehicle. Next, Kieva walked around to the driver's door, and attempted to open the driver's door. Prior to Kieva getting to the driver's door, the Kieva Vehicle locked, locking Kieva out of the Kieva Vehicle, and locking her very young child inside the Kieva Vehicle. Kieva's child was too young to know how to open the door to the Kieva Vehicle, and was trapped inside the Kieva Vehicle.

- 16. To summarize, the Kieva Vehicle locked even though the Kieva Vehicle's remote was located inside the Kieva Vehicle. This resulted in Kieva's child being locked inside the Kieva Vehicle. In order to rescue Kieva's child, it was necessary to break one of the Kieva Vehicle's windows, doing damage to the Kieva Vehicle, and terrifying Kieva's child.
- 17. From 1998 to 2015, every year at least 24 children have died from heatstroke as the result of being locked inside vehicles. A child being locked inside of a vehicle creates a very serious threat to human life. Class Vehicles locking by themselves is extremely unsafe. The Class Vehicles' owners' manual states that a 10 Class Vehicle cannot be locked if the Class Vehicle's remote is located inside the Class Vehicle. Shockingly, Class Vehicles have locked while their remotes, as well as children, have been located inside the Class Vehicles, placing these children at risk of being killed.
- 18. Following Kieva's harrowing occurrence of October 19, 2015, a complaint was made by Kieva's family to BMW NA regarding the October 19, 2015 occurrence. In response to this complaint, on November 4, 2015, Jay Hanson of BMW NA wrote an email to the Kieva family. An excerpt of Mr. Hanson's email 18 reads as follows, "Therefore, we must be dealing either with a malfunction of the 19 locking system or an inadvertent activation of the locking system via either the remote transmitter or the Comfort Access System. Again, it is not impossible to lock a key in the vehicle – and to do so is not necessarily indicative of a malfunction. For example, if a door other than the driver's door is open and the locking button on the transmitter is pressed, the vehicle will lock when the open door is closed. If the user is unaware of having pressed the locking button, then it would certainly appear that it had somehow locked itself." Mr. Hanson's email completely contradicts the BMW NA owner's manual, which states, "To lock the vehicle, the remote control must be located outside of the vehicle."

1

5

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

25

- 19. BMW NA widely advertises, publishes, publicizes, and disseminates to the public that the Class Vehicles are extremely safe vehicles, and are in fact, "The Ultimate Driving Machine." Furthermore, BMW NA's owners manual written for the Class Vehicles clearly advises consumers that, "To lock the vehicle, the remote must be outside the vehicle." Contrary to these representations, the Class Vehicles are not safe, and have a defect which has resulted in children being locked inside Class Vehicles. Furthermore, contrary to the representations in the owner's manual relating to the Class Vehicles, BMW has actually confirmed that it is possible to lock a Class Vehicle with the remote being located inside the Class Vehicle.
- 20. One complaint submitted to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration indicates that on or before July 8, 2011, a consumer specifically advised BMW NA that a 2009 BMW X5 spontaneously locked while the the 2009 BMW X5's remote was located inside the vehicle. BMW NA and BMW AG share information regarding consumer complaints. As such, BMW NA and BMW AG have known about this complaint dating back to July 8, 2011, and probably even before that date. Notwithstanding, BMW NA and BMW AG have refused to make repairs in order to resolve the Comfort Access Defect, have refused to advise consumers of the Comfort Access Defect, have refused to modify Class Vehicle owner's manuals so that they are accurate, and have refused to pay for damage suffered to consumers as a result of the Comfort Access Defect.
- 21. Furthermore, Mr. Hanson's email of November 4, 2015 acknowledges that a Class Vehicle can be locked while the Class Vehicle's remote is inside the Class Vehicle, and confirms BMW's knowledge that the Class Vehicle's owner's manual is false and misleading.

- loss.
 - 22. BMW NA has been advised of the damages sustained as a result of the Kieva Vehicle experiencing the Comfort Access Defect, however BMW has failed to unconditionally reimburse Kieva for all of the damages that have resulted from the Comfort Access Defect.
 - 23. As a result of BMW NA's misconduct, Kieva and the other owners and lessees of Class Vehicles have been harmed and have suffered actual damages. The actual damages include but are not limited to the fact that Class Vehicles continue to experience the Comfort Access Defect, that Class Members have suffered unreimbursed out of pocket expenses as a result of the Comfort Access Defect, and that the Class Vehicles continue to have inaccurate owner's manuals.
- 24. BMW NA and BMW AG knew or should have known that the Class Vehicles were defective and not fit for their intended purpose of providing consumers with safe and reliable transportation. Nevertheless, BMW NA and BMW AG actively concealed and failed to disclose this defect to Kieva and the Class Members at the time of purchase or lease and thereafter.
 - 25. BMW NA and BMW AG have failed to notify Class Members of the Comfort Access Defect, placing consumers at risk of suffering injury and financial loss.
 - 26. It is Kieva's information and belief that the Comfort Access Defect is a pervasive defect affecting every single Class Vehicle, and posing a serious safety hazard for the general public.
 - 27. BMW NA and BMW AG have superior and exclusive knowledge of the Comfort Access Defect. BMW NA and BMW AG knew that the defect was not known or reasonably discoverable by Kieva and Class Members prior to their purchase or lease of the Class Vehicles.

- 28. Only BMW NA and BMW AG had access to information about the significant risks associated with the Comfort Access Defect, through BMW NA's dealerships, pre-release testing data, warranty data, customer complaint data, and replacement part sales data, among other internal sources of aggregate information about the problem.
- 29. While BMW NA and BMW AG have been fully aware of the Comfort Access Defect in the Class Vehicles, BMW NA and BMW AG have actively concealed the existence and nature of the Comfort Access Defect from Kieva and Class Members at the time purchase or sale and thereafter. Specifically, BMW NA and BMW AG have:
- a. failed to disclose, at and after the time of purchase or lease and repair, any and all known material defects or material nonconformity of the Class Vehicles, including the Comfort Access Defect;
- b. failed to disclose at the time of purchase or lease that the Class Vehicles were not in good in working order, were defective, and were not fit for their intended purposes; and
- c. not properly instructed BMW NA authorized repair facilities regarding the true nature of the Comfort Access Defect. BMW NA has instead made false statements that that there is nothing wrong with the Class Vehicles, and that Class Vehicles cannot be locked if the Class Vehicles' remotes are inside the Class Vehicles. Consequently, this misinformation provided by BMW NA has resulted in complaining consumers being told that there is nothing wrong with their Class Vehicles.
 - 30. Kieva and Class Members have expended money to make repairs as a result of the Comfort Access Defect, despite BMW NA and BMS AG's knowledge of the defect.

- 1 3 4 5 6 7 10 11 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
 - 31. The Members of the Class have not received the value for which they bargained when they purchased or leased the Class Vehicles.
 - 32. As a result of the defects, the value of the Class Vehicles has diminished, including without limitation re-sale value.

TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

- 33. Since the defects in the design or manufacture of the Class Vehicles cannot be detected until the defect manifests itself, Kieva and the Class Members were not reasonably able to discover the problem until after purchasing or leasing the Class Vehicles, despite their exercise of due diligence.
- 34. Kieva and the Class Members had no realistic ability to discern that the Class Vehicles were defective until after Kieva and the Class Members experienced the Comfort Access Defect. In addition, despite their due diligence, Kieva and the 14 Class Members could not reasonably have been expected to learn or discover that they were deceived and that material information concerning the Class Vehicles had been concealed from them until manifestation of the Comfort Access Defect. Therefore, the discovery rule is applicable to the claims asserted by Kieva and the Class Members.
 - 35. BMW NA and BMW AG have known of the defect in the Class Vehicles, and have concealed from or failed to alert owners and lessees of the Class Vehicles of the full and complete nature of the Comfort Access Defect.
 - Any applicable statute of limitation was tolled by BMW NA and BMW 36. AG's knowledge, active concealment, and denial of the facts alleged herein. BMW NA and BMW AG are further estopped from relying on any statute of limitation because of its concealment of the defective nature of the Class Vehicles.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 37. Kieva brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of herself and all other Class Members similarly situated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of those provisions.
 - Class: All Persons in the State of California who purchased or leased a Class Vehicle.

The Class and Sub-Class are defined as:

Sub-Class: All Class Members who are "consumers" within the meaning of California Civil Code § 1761(d). Excluded from the Class and Sub-Classes are: (1) Defendants, any entity or division in which Defendants has a controlling interest, and its legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's staff; and (3) those persons who have suffered personal injuries as a result of the facts alleged herein. Kieva reserves the right to amend the Class and Sub-Classes definitions if discovery and further investigation reveal that the Class and Sub-Classes should be expanded or otherwise modified.

39. Numerosity: Although the exact number of Class Members is uncertain and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, the number is great enough such that joinder is impracticable. The disposition of the claims of these Class Members in a single action will provide substantial benefits to all parties and to the Court. The Class Members are readily identifiable from information and 26 records in Defendants' possession, custody, or control, as well as from records kept by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

27

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

38.

1	40. <u>Typicality</u> : The claims of the representative Kieva are typical of the
2	claims of the Class in that the representative Kieva, like all Class Members,
3	purchased and/or leased a Class Vehicle designed, manufactured, and distributed by
4	BMW NA and BMW AG. The representative Kieva, like all Class Members, has
5	been damaged by Defendants' misconduct in that she has incurred or will incur the
6	cost of repairs relating to the Comfort Access Defect. Furthermore, the factual
7	bases of BMW NA and BMW AG's misconduct are common to all Class Members
8	and represent a common thread of fraudulent, deliberate, and negligent misconduct
9	resulting in injury to all Class Members.
10	41. <u>Commonality</u> : There are numerous questions of law and fact common
11	to Kieva and the Class that predominate over any question affecting only individual
12	Class Members. These common legal and factual issues include the following:
13	a. whether the Class Vehicles suffer from the Comfort Access
14	Defect;
15	b. whether the Comfort Access Defect constitutes an unreasonable
16	safety risk;
17	c. whether Defendants know about the Comfort Access Defect and
18	if so, how long Defendants have known of the defect;
19	d. whether the defective nature of the Class Vehicles constitutes a
20	material fact;
21	e. whether Defendants have a duty to disclose the defective nature
22	of the Class Vehicles to Kieva and Class Members;
23	f. whether Kieva and the other Class Members are entitled to
24	equitable relief, including but not limited to a preliminary and/or permanent
25	injunction.
26	
27	

-13-

24

25

action, most Class Members would likely find the cost of litigating their claims

26 the relatively small size of the individual Class Members' claims, it is likely that

only a few Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for Defendants'

prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy at law. Because of

1 misconduct. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to incur damages, and Defendants' misconduct will continue without remedy. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a superior method to multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants, and will promote consistency and efficiency of adjudication.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

Against All Defendants

- 44. Kieva hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 45. Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits acts of "unfair competition," including any "unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice" and "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 46. Kieva and the Class Members are reasonable consumers who do not expect their Class Vehicles to experience the Comfort Access Defect, who expect 18 their owner's manuals to be accurate, and who do not expect their Class Vehicles to 19 spontaneously lock. These are reasonable and objective consumer expectations relating to the Class Vehicles.
 - Defendants know and have known that the Class Vehicles suffer from 47. an inherent defect, were defectively designed or manufactured, would experience the Comfort Access Defect, and were not suitable for their intended use.
 - In failing to disclose the Comfort Access Defect, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally concealed material facts and breached their duty not to do so.

27

55. 1 Defendants were unjustly enriched and should be required to make restitution to Kieva and the Class pursuant to §§ 17203 and 17204 of the Business & Professions Code. 4 5 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** Fraud by Omission 6 Against All Defendants 7 8 56. Kieva hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint. 10 57. It is Kieva's information and belief that BMW NA and BMW AG knew or should have known that the Comfort Access Defect in the Class Vehicles 11 was a condition rendering the Class Vehicles defectively designed or manufactured, causing the Class Vehicles to be unsafe, and rendering the Class Vehicles not 13 suitable for their intended use. 14 Defendants concealed from and failed to disclose to Kieva and the 15 58. Class the defective nature of the Class Vehicles. 16 59. Defendants concealed from and failed to disclose to Kieva and the 17 Class the inaccuracies in the Class Vehicles' owner's manuals. 18 19 60. Defendants were under a duty to Kieva and the Class to disclose the 20 defective nature of the Comfort Access Defect because: 21 Defendants were in a superior position to know the true state of a. facts about the Comfort Access Defect in the Class Vehicles; 22 23 b. Defendants made partial disclosures about the quality of the Class Vehicles without revealing the defective nature of the Class Vehicles; 24 25 c. Defendants actively concealed the defective nature of the Class Vehicles from Kieva and the Class; 26 27 28

d. 1 The Comfort Access Defect posed a serious safety hazard for Class Members, as well as the general public. 3 61. The facts concealed or not disclosed by Defendants to Kieva and the Class Members are material in that a reasonable person would have considered them to be important in deciding whether to purchase the Class Vehicles. Had Kieva and other Class Members known that the Class Vehicles had the Comfort Access Defect, Kieva and the Class Members would not have purchased and leased Class Vehicles, or would have paid less for them. 9 Defendants continued to conceal the defective nature of the Class 62. Vehicles even after Class Members began to report problems. Indeed, Defendants 10 continue to cover up and conceal the true nature of the problem. 11 12 63. Defendants concealed or failed to disclose the true nature of the design or manufacturing defect consisting of the Comfort Access Defect existing in its 14 Class Vehicles, in order to induce Kieva and the Class to act thereon. Kieva and the Class Members justifiably relied on the omission to their detriment. This detriment 15 is evident from Kieva's and Class Members' purchase or lease of Defendants' Class 16 Vehicles. 17 Defendants continued to conceal the defective nature of the Class 64. 18 19 Vehicles even after Members of the Class began to report problems. Indeed, 20 Defendants continue to cover up and conceal the true nature of the problem today, including denying reimbursement of repair costs related to repairs that have been 21 necessary due to the Comfort Access Defect. 22 23 65. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Kieva and the Class Members have suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages 25 26 27

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 1 2 Breach of Implied Warranty 3 Against All Defendants 4 66. Kieva hereby incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the 5 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint. 6 67. BMW NA and BMW AG were the manufacturer, distributor, warrantor, and seller of the Class Vehicles. BMW NA and BMW AG knew or had reason to know of the specific use for which the Class Vehicles were purchased or leased. 10 68. BMW NA and BMW AG provided Kieva and the Class Members with an implied warranty that the Class Vehicles and their components and parts were 11 12 merchantable and fit for the ordinary purposes for which they were sold. However, 13 the Class vehicles were and are not fit for their ordinary purpose of providing 14 reasonably reliable and safe transportation, because the Comfort Access Defect results in the Class Vehicles being unsafe. 15 16 69. BMW NA and BMW AG impliedly warranted that the Class Vehicles 17 were of merchantable quality and fit for such use. This implied warranty included a 18 warranty that the Class Vehicles were safe and fit for their intended use. However, 19 the Class vehicles were and are not fit for their ordinary purpose of providing reasonably reliable and safe transportation, because the Comfort Access Defect 20 21 results in the Class Vehicles being unsafe. 22 70. As a result of BMW NA's and BMW AG's breach of the applicable implied warranties, owners and lessees of Class Vehicles have suffered 23 24 ascertainable loss of money, property and value of the Class Vehicles, as well as suffering other damage. 25 26 27

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION 1 2 Violation of Consumer Legal Remedies Act 3 Against All Defendants 71. 4 Kieva incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this 5 Complaint. 72. 6 Civil Code section 1780(a) provides that any consumer who suffers damage as a result of a CLRA violation may bring an action to recover: 1) actual damages, but in no case shall the total award of damages in a class action be less than \$1,000, 2) an order enjoining the methods, acts, or practices, 3) restitution of property, 4) punitive damages, and 5) any other relief that the court deems proper. 10 11 73. Civil Code section 1781 provides that Kieva may pursue this case as a class action. 12 13 74. Kieva requests injunctive relief pursuant to Civil Code 1782(d). 75. 14 Kieva is entitled to attorney fees pursuant to Civil Code section 15 1780(e). 16 17 RELIEF REQUESTED 76. 18 Kieva, on behalf of herself, and all others similarly situated, requests 19 the Court to enter judgment against Defendants, as follows: 20 An order certifying the proposed Class and Sub-Classes, a. designating Kieva as named representatives of the Class, and designating the 21 Kieva's Counsel as Class Counsel; 22 b. 23 A declaration that Defendants are financially responsible for notifying all Class Members about the defective nature of the Class Vehicles; 24 25 c. An order enjoining Defendants from further deceptive distribution, sales, and lease practices with respect to the Class Vehicles, and to 26 27 28

1	repair the Class Vehicles so that they will no longer possess the Comfort Access
2	Defect;
3	d. An award to Kieva and the Class of compensatory, exemplary,
4	and statutory damages, including interest, in an amount to be proven at trial;
5	e. An award to Kieva and the Class of any repair costs they are
6	owed;
7	f. A declaration that Defendants must disgorge, for the benefit of
8	the Class, all or part of the ill-gotten profits it received from the sale or lease of the
9	Class Vehicles, or make full restitution to Kieva and Class Members;
10	g. An award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law;
11	h. An award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California
12	Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;
13	i. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
14	j. Leave to amend the Complaint to conform to the evidence
15	produced at trial; and
16	k. Other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances.
17	
18	Date: January 24, 2016 The Law Office of Robert L. Starr
19	
20	By: <u>/s/ Robert Starr</u> Robert Starr
21	Attorney for Plaintiff Views Myers, individually, and on
22	Attorney for Plaintiff Kieva Myers, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals
23	marviquais
24	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
25	Plaintiff demands a jury trial pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38.
26	
27	Date: January 24, 2016 /s/ Robert Starr
28	21

$_{\text{JS 44}} \text{ (Rev. 12/12) cand rev (1/15/13)} \\ \textbf{Case 3:16-cv-00412} \\ \textbf{Document 1-1} \\ \textbf{-1 Give R 1/12/12} \\ \textbf{Page 1 of 2} \\ \textbf{-1 Give R 1/12/12} \\ \textbf{-$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil de				1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Kieva Myers			DEFENDANTS BMW of North America, NA, and Bayerische Motoren Werke Aktiengesellschaft		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Law Office of Robert Star 91302, (818) 225-9040, L Ave., 15th Fl., Woodland	rr, 23901 Calabasas R ₋aw Office of Stephen	d., #2072, Calabasas Harris, 6320 Canoga	, CA		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O.	ne Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EQUEENTINE (DEN A 1 TSV	D A NIZDLIDTCIV	OTHER CTATHERS
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act ■ IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 USC 1332 Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are fi use:	(specify,	er District Litigation	
Diversity, for Consumer Legal Remedies Act VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Diversity, for Consumer Legal Remedies Act DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Yes \$\square\$ No					
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 01/24/2016		signature of attor /s/ Robert Starr	RNEY OF RECORD		
	V				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)**

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

Kieva Myers, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated indivuduals))))	
Plaintiff(s))) 	
V.	Civil Action No.	
BMW of North America, LLC, Bayerische Motoren Werke Aktiengesellschaft)))	
Defendant(s)))	
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) BMW of North America, NA, LLC, 300 Chestnut Ridge Rd., Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey 07677, Bayerische Motoren Werke Aktiengesellschaft, Petuelring 130, Munich, Germany 80788		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.		
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Law Office of Robert Starr, 23901 Calabasas Rd., #2072, Calabasas, CA 91302		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
	CLERK OF COURT	
Date:		
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (n ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summon	as at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion	who resides there	e,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known addr	ess; or	
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	al of \$0.0	00 .
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.		
Date:		_			
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title	ę	
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

1 2 3	Robert L. Starr, Bar No. 183052 robert@starrlawmail.com THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT L. STARR, APC 23901 Calabasas Road, #20272 Calabasas, California 91302
4	Telephone: (818) 225-9040 Facsimile: (818) 225-9042
5	Stephen M. Harris, Bar No. 110626 stephen@smh-legal.com
6	THE LAW OFFICE OF STEPHEN M. HARRIS, APC 6320 Canoga Avenue, Suite 1500
	Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 924-3103 Facsimile: (818) 924-3079
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kieva Myers, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	
14	KIEVA MYERS, individually, and on behalf of a class of similarly situated) NO. CLASS ACTION
15	individuals,) VENUE DECLARATION
16	Plaintiff,
17	v.)
18 19	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC, ,) BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE) AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT,)
20	Defendantss.
21	}
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-1-
	1

1	I, Robert Starr, declare the following:
2	1. I am one of the attorneys representing plaintiff Kieva Myers in this action. I
3	have personal knowledge of the facts in this declaration and if called on to testify
4	about the facts I could and would competently do so.
5	2. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1780(d), the transaction that is the subject of
6	this action occurred in San Francisco County.
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
8	that the foregoing is true and correct.
9	Date: January 24, 2016 /s/ Robert Starr
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	